

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,412	02/14/2005	Byeong Yong Lee	3449-0444PUS1	4563
2292	7590 01/26/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			PASCHALL, MARK H	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3742	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ϵ		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antinu Communication	10/524,412	LEE, BYEONG Y	ONG		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark H. Paschall	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·		e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-14-05. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/524,412 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,5-9,18-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Burkett et al. note that the oven in Burkett et al ahs a variable duty cycle dependent on temperature sensing and comparison to a reference temperature, as claimed. Note use of a keyboard for data entry. AS per claim 2 note use of half cycle control would effect an off period which is fixed, dependent on the input line voltage frequency, which is fixed. AS per claim 5 note use of compensated cook time dependent on cooking progress.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,412 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

Claims 3,10,11,13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burkett et al in view of Takano et al.

Burkett et al teach the claimed subject matter except fro showing the choice of different capacity heating elements dependent on the apparatus temperature difference. The patent to Takano et al is applied for showing use of temperature sensing difference to control the choice of heating elements with varying heating capacities. Note that in Takano et al, as the temperature difference with the set point decreases, the element with less heating capacity would be used, as claimed. This leads to a more efficient use of heating power, and in view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Burkett et al system with the same to make more efficient use of the heating power available.

Claims 4,12,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burkett et al in view of Fernandez. Burkett et al teach the claimed subject matter except for showing use of turn on and off of all heaters in a heating system, if the set point is lower than the sensed temperature and in view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify Burkett et al with the same, to effect a more balanced use and longevity of the heating elements.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Boldt and Fortune et al are cited for disclosing heating control systems of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3742

Mp